



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE December 20, 2018

Contact: Corey Himrod

Tel: 202-266-0426/571-359-5357 or Email: corey@alaskawild.org

Trump Administration Accelerates Assault on Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Washington, D.C. – The Bureau of Land Management has released its draft environmental impact statement (EIS) in advance of a planned 2019 oil and gas lease sale on the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. This process began with a public scoping period this summer during which the American public submitted nearly 700,000 comments in favor of protections. In addition, at public hearings held across Alaska and in Washington, D.C., public sentiment favored – often overwhelmingly so – protection of the Arctic Refuge and its coastal plain. Survey after survey shows the American people oppose Arctic Refuge development by a greater than 2-1 margin.¹

Even as it looks to advance a deeply flawed seismic exploration proposal, the Trump administration is trying to advance a lease sale in the heart of the Arctic Refuge by next summer, years in advance of the legislative timeline and without sufficient time to gather and analyze data regarding the negative impacts drilling would have on the land and wildlife. During debate over the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Greg Sheehan, Principal Deputy Director for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, testified regarding lease sales that 'two would occur four to five years from now, with drilling being potentially as far out as 7-10 years.' Despite promises not to undercut environmental laws, the intent of this administration is clear: beat the clock and rush oil leasing before potential shifts in the political landscape can occur.

Statement by Adam Kolton, Executive Director, Alaska Wilderness League:

"There is simply no precedent for such a rushed, inadequate review of the impacts of oil and gas development in Arctic wilderness. BLM is relying on outdated and incomplete science to make its decision, a reckless approach that is flat out negligent when making a critical decision about whether oil and gas development should occur in one of the world's most exceptional ecosystems. Drilling proponents like Lisa Murkowski have admitted wanting to get these leases out quickly to make it more difficult to restore protections in the future. This is a land grab, pure and simple, and the individuals responsible care little about impacts to wildlife or the damage they would be inflicting on Alaska Native people whose subsistence depends on the Arctic Refuge.

Sadly, the Trump administration still hasn't seemed to comprehend the message Americans delivered on Election Day. More than 35 members of Congress who voted in favor of a tax bill that included Arctic Refuge leasing were defeated. Polls have shown that swing voters in battleground districts opposed Refuge drilling by a 64-23% margin. This continued rush to drill America's largest and wildest refuge is deeply unpopular, morally wrong, and threatens to turn back the clock on clean energy progress.



It's vital that the new Congress, on day one, take steps to ramp up oversight over the backroom dealing and sidestepping of environmental laws that have defined this administration, and work to restore protections to a national treasure that belongs to all Americans."

###

ⁱ See: Where Americans (Mostly) Agree on Climate Change Policies, in Five Maps, New York Times, 11/1/2018; Green group poll: Arctic Refuge drilling unpopular in key GOP districts, The Hill, 11/22/2018; Americans oppose drilling in Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Yale Program on Climate Change Communication, 12/4/2017; Public Opinion on Energy, the Environment, and Climate, Center for American Progress and Hart Research Associates, December 2016.